

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

FREDERICK CONNORS,
 Plaintiff,

vs.

FRONTIERLAND COMMUNICATIONS, *et al.*,
 Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-cv-00319-LDG-CWH

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief (#18), filed September 19, 2012 and Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief (#19), filed September 24, 2012.

Both of Plaintiff's motions consist of a single sentence: "I am filing for [i]njunctive [r]elief." While courts construe pro se pleadings liberally, the Ninth Circuit has held that "[p]ro se must follow the same rules of procedure that govern other litigants." *E.g., King v. Atiyeh*, 814 F.2d 565, 567 (9th Cir. 1987). Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, "[t]he failure of a moving party to file points and authorities in support of the motion shall constitute a consent to the denial of the motion." *See* LR 7-2(d). Plaintiff has not provided any support or context for the motions currently under consideration, and the Court cannot, without more, adequately address the motions. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief (#18) and Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief (#19) are **denied**.

DATED this 26th day of September, 2012.


 C.W. Hoffman, Jr.
 United States Magistrate Judge